

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/001,682 10/25/2001 · John Patrick McGregor JR. 10006270-1 3181 7590 05/02/2005 EXAMINER HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY CERVETTI, DAVID GARCIA Intellectual Property Administration ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER , P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 2136

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/001,682	MCGREGOR, JOHN PATRICK
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David G. Cervetti	2136
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 October 2001</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers .		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/25/2001.	6) Other:	,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the subscript for k is not consistent, $(x_{13} \oplus k_{20})$ and $(x_{12} \oplus k_{19})$ at the beginning of line 17, and $(x_{13} \oplus k_{19})$ and $(x_{12} \oplus k_{19})$ at the end of the same line. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 8-12, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adams et al. (US Patent Number: 5,825,886).

Regarding claim 1, Adams et al. teach

- a) generating at least one large SP-box lookup table (column 5, lines 23-34);
- b) computing an index for each SP-box lookup table (column 5, lines 51-67);
- c) adding operations to the DES round key computation function to obtain a modified round key computation function (column 5, lines 34-40, column 6, lines 33-67); and
- d) computing the index for each SP-box by performing XOR operations between at least one block of contiguous bits of the input to the DES Expansion Permutation and said modified round key computation function (column 5, lines 51-67).

Application/Control Number: 10/001,682

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claim 2, Adams et al. teach

- a) generating at least one large SP-box lookup table (column 5, lines 23-34);
- b) adding operations to the DES round key computation function to obtain a modified round key computation function (column 5, lines 34-40, column 6, lines 33-67);
- c) computing a modified SP-box index by performing XOR operations
 between at least one block of contiguous bits of the 32-bit input to the DES
 Expansion Permutation and the result of the modified round key computation
 function of step b) (column 5, lines 51-67); and
- d) executing each subsequent round of DES computation by repeating steps
 a) and c) (column 5, lines 23-34).

Regarding claim 3, Adams et al. teach wherein steps a) through d) are carried out in a digital processor (column 8, lines 8-15).

Regarding claim 8, Adams et al. teach mathematically transforming the DES round function in each said round; mathematically transforming the DES round key computation function in each said round; and modifying the inputs to said SP-boxes in accordance with the results of steps a) and b) (column 6, lines 33-67, column 7, lines 1-30).

Regarding claim 9, Adams et al. teach wherein steps a) and b) are carried out so that computation in the DES Expansion Permutation is shifted from the DES round function to the DES round key computation function (column 6, lines 51-62).

Regarding claim 10, Adams et al. teach

Art Unit: 2136

 a) means for generating at least one large SP-box lookup table (column 5, lines 23-34);

- b) means for computing an index for each SP-box lookup table (column 5, lines 51-67);
- c) means for adding operations to the DES round key computation function to obtain a modified round key computation function (column 5, lines 34-40, column 6, lines 33-67); and
- d) means for computing the index for each said SP-box by performing XOR operations between at least one block of contiguous bits of the input to the DES Expansion Permutation and said modified round key computation function (column 5, lines 51-67).

Regarding claim 11, Adams et al. teach

- a) means for generating at least one large SP-box lookup table (column 5, lines 23-34);
- b) means for adding operations to the DES round key computation function to obtain a modified round key computation function (column 5, lines 51-67);
- c) means for computing a modified SP-box index by performing XOR
 operations between at least one selected block of said 32-bit input to the DES
 Expansion Permutation and the result of the modified round key computation
 function (column 5, lines 51-67).

Regarding claim 12, Adams et al. teach wherein said means for computing comprises a digital processor (column 8, lines 8-15).

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claim 17, Adams et al. teach means for mathematically transforming the DES round function in each said round; means for mathematically transforming the DES round key computation function in each said round; and means for modifying the inputs to said SP-boxes in accordance with the transformations of said round function and of said round key computation function (column 6, lines 33-67, column 7, lines 1-30).

Regarding claim 18, Adams et al. teach wherein means for modifying comprises means for shifting computation in the DES Expansion Permutation from the DES round function to the DES round key computation function (column 6, lines 51-62).

Regarding claim 19, Adams et al. teach a data processing system for carrying out Data Encryption Standard (DES) encryption and decryption rounds with reduced computation, the system comprising:

- a) computer processing means for processing data (column 4, lines 55-59);
- b) storage means providing four large SP-box lookup tables (figure 2);
- c) means for computing indices for the respective SP-box lookup tables (column 5, lines 51-67);
- d) means for adding operations to the DES round key computation function to obtain a modified round key computation function (column 5, lines 34-40, column 6, lines 33-67); and

Art Unit: 2136

e) means for computing the index of each said SP-box by performing XOR operations between at least one block of contiguous bits of the input to the DES Expansion Permutation and said modified round key computation function (column 5, lines 51-67).

Art Unit: 2136

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams et al. as applied to claims 3 and 12 respectively above, and further in view of Candelore (US Patent Number: 5,861,662).

Regarding claims 4 and 13, Adams et al. teach the limitations as set forth under claims 3 and 12 respectively above. Adams et al. do not disclose expressly wherein said digital processor is taken from the group consisting of a general-purpose processor, an embedded processor and a cryptographic processor. However, Candelore teaches wherein said digital processor is taken from the group consisting of a general-purpose processor, an embedded processor and a cryptographic processor (column 6, lines 12-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use digital processor taken from the group consisting of a general-purpose processor, an embedded processor, and a cryptographic processor. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use such processors to provide a wide range of possible implementations.

6. Claims 5-7 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams et al. as applied to claims 2 and 11 respectively above, and further in view of Menezes et al. (NPL Handbook of Applied Cryptography, pages 252-256).

Regarding claim 5, Adams et al. teach the limitations as set forth under claim 2 above. Adams et al. do not disclose expressly wherein step c) comprises the step of selecting two blocks of contiguous bits of the 32-bit input to DES Expansion

Permutation. However, Menezes et al. teach wherein step c) comprises the step of selecting two blocks of contiguous bits of the 32-bit input to DES Expansion

Permutation (page 252). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select two blocks of contiguous bits of the 32-bit input to DES Expansion Permutation. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so since it is part of the algorithm for the Data Encryption Standard.

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Adams et al. and Menezes et al. teaches the limitations as set forth under claim 5 above. Furthermore, Menezes et al. teach wherein one of said two blocks includes the least significant bit of said 32-bit input and the other of said two blocks includes the most significant bit of said 32-bit input for each of said round (page 252).

Regarding claim 7, Adams et al. teach the limitations as set forth under claim 2 above. Adams et al. do not disclose expressly wherein step c) is carried out by permuting the entries within each SP-box lookup table. However, Menezes et al. teach wherein step c) is carried out by permuting the entries within each SP-box lookup table

(page 253). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to permute the entries within each SP-box lookup table as is done in DES. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so since it is part of the algorithm for the Data Encryption Standard.

Regarding claim 14, Adams et al. teach the limitations as set forth under claim 11 above. Adams et al. do not disclose expressly wherein said means for computing comprises means for selecting two blocks of said 32-bit input to the DES Expansion Permutation. However, Menezes et al. teach wherein said means for computing comprises means for selecting two blocks of said 32-bit input to the DES Expansion Permutation (page 252). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select two blocks of contiguous bits of the 32-bit input to DES Expansion Permutation. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so since it is part of the algorithm for the Data Encryption Standard.

Regarding claim 15, the combination of Adams et al. and Menezes et al. teaches the limitations as set forth under claim 14 above. Furthermore, Menezes et al. teach wherein one of said two blocks includes the least significant bit of said 32-bit input and the other of said two blocks includes the most significant bit of said 32-bit input for each of said round (page 252).

Regarding claim 16, Adams et al. teach the limitations as set forth under claim 11 above. Adams et al. do not disclose expressly wherein said means for generating comprises means for permuting the entries within each said SP-box lookup table.

Art Unit: 2136

However, Menezes et al. teach wherein said means for generating comprises means for permuting the entries within each said SP-box lookup table (page 253). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to permute the entries within each SP-box lookup table as is done in DES.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so since it is part of the algorithm for the Data Encryption Standard.

Art Unit: 2136

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David G. Cervetti whose telephone number is (571) 272-5861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00 am - 5:00 pm, off on Wednesday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DGC

AUZISI

4/15/55